Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/462,472	MATSUI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christian L. Fronda	1652
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Christian L. Fronda</u> CJJ (2) <u>Vincent Shier</u> .	(3) Masahiro Yamanashi.	
(2) <u>Vincent Shier</u> .	(4) May	
Date of Interview: 09 February 2006.	V	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☑ applicant 2	2)⊡ applicant's representative	e)
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>/3-2</u> 2,25, 27		
Identification of prior art discussed: Mas carenhas	et al.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>SEE NOTES BELOW</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT' ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, RVIEW. See Summary of Re	y been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO cord of Interview
The rejections of record were arguments to overcome the rej	ections. Applicant	TS and
Examiner discussed okim am	rendments which	Peration for
the restriction requirement	of record. The iss	ues of
the application specifically after consultation with the	The restriction	rogullenien!
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Musli	ature, if required